Many combinatorial objects have a natural symmetry.

Example. In how many ways can we seat 4 people at a round table?

Many combinatorial objects have a natural symmetry.

Example. In how many ways can we seat 4 people at a round table?

There are 4! permutations; however, each of \_\_\_\_\_ rotations gives the same order of guests. *Dividing* gives the \_\_\_\_\_ arrangements.

Many combinatorial objects have a natural symmetry.

Example. In how many ways can we seat 4 people at a round table?

There are 4! permutations; however, each of \_\_\_\_\_ rotations gives the same order of guests. *Dividing* gives the \_\_\_\_\_ arrangements.

- ▶ In how many ways can we arrange 10 people into five pairs?
- $\blacktriangleright$  In how many ways can we k-color the vertices of a square?

Many combinatorial objects have a natural symmetry.

Example. In how many ways can we seat 4 people at a round table?

There are 4! permutations; however, each of \_\_\_\_\_ rotations gives the same order of guests. *Dividing* gives the \_\_\_\_\_ arrangements.

- ▶ In how many ways can we arrange 10 people into five pairs?
- ▶ In how many ways can we k-color the vertices of a square?

In order to approach counting questions involving symmetry rigorously, we use the mathematical notion of *equivalence relation*.

*Definition:* An **equivalence relation**  $\mathcal E$  on a set A satisfies the following properties:

▶ **Reflexive**: For all  $a \in A$ ,  $a\mathcal{E}a$ .

▶ **Symmetric**: For all  $a, b \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , then  $b\mathcal{E}a$ .

▶ **Transitive**: For all  $a, b, c \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , and  $b\mathcal{E}c$ , then  $a\mathcal{E}c$ .

*Definition:* An **equivalence relation**  $\mathcal E$  on a set A satisfies the following properties:

- ▶ **Reflexive**: For all  $a \in A$ ,  $a\mathcal{E}a$ .
- ▶ **Symmetric**: For all  $a, b \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , then  $b\mathcal{E}a$ .
- ▶ **Transitive**: For all  $a, b, c \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , and  $b\mathcal{E}c$ , then  $a\mathcal{E}c$ .

Example. When sitting four people at a round table, let A be all 4-permutations. We say  $a=(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$  and  $b=(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4)$  are "equivalent"  $(a\mathcal{E}b)$  if they are rotations of each other.

Verify that  ${\mathcal E}$  is an equivalence relation.

Definition: An equivalence relation  $\mathcal E$  on a set A satisfies the following properties:

- ▶ **Reflexive**: For all  $a \in A$ ,  $a\mathcal{E}a$ .
- ▶ **Symmetric**: For all  $a, b \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , then  $b\mathcal{E}a$ .
- ▶ **Transitive**: For all  $a, b, c \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , and  $b\mathcal{E}c$ , then  $a\mathcal{E}c$ .

Example. When sitting four people at a round table, let A be all 4-permutations. We say  $a=(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$  and  $b=(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4)$  are "equivalent"  $(a\mathcal{E}b)$  if they are rotations of each other.

Verify that  ${\mathcal E}$  is an equivalence relation.

▶ It is reflexive because:

Definition: An equivalence relation  $\mathcal E$  on a set A satisfies the following properties:

- ▶ **Reflexive**: For all  $a \in A$ ,  $a\mathcal{E}a$ .
- ▶ **Symmetric**: For all  $a, b \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , then  $b\mathcal{E}a$ .
- ▶ **Transitive**: For all  $a, b, c \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , and  $b\mathcal{E}c$ , then  $a\mathcal{E}c$ .

Example. When sitting four people at a round table, let A be all 4-permutations. We say  $a=(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$  and  $b=(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4)$  are "equivalent"  $(a\mathcal{E}b)$  if they are rotations of each other.

Verify that  ${\mathcal E}$  is an equivalence relation.

- ▶ It is reflexive because:
- ▶ It is symmetric because:

*Definition:* An **equivalence relation**  $\mathcal E$  on a set A satisfies the following properties:

- ▶ **Reflexive**: For all  $a \in A$ ,  $a\mathcal{E}a$ .
- ▶ **Symmetric**: For all  $a, b \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , then  $b\mathcal{E}a$ .
- ▶ **Transitive**: For all  $a, b, c \in A$ , if  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , and  $b\mathcal{E}c$ , then  $a\mathcal{E}c$ .

Example. When sitting four people at a round table, let A be all 4-permutations. We say  $a=(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$  and  $b=(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4)$  are "equivalent"  $(a\mathcal{E}b)$  if they are rotations of each other.

Verify that  ${\mathcal E}$  is an equivalence relation.

- ▶ It is reflexive because:
- ▶ It is symmetric because:
- ▶ It is transitive because:

It is natural to investigate the set of all elements related to a: Definition: The **equivalence class containing** a is the set  $\mathcal{E}(a) = \{x \in A : x\mathcal{E}a\}.$ 

It is natural to investigate the set of all elements related to a:

Definition: The equivalence class containing a is the set

$$\mathcal{E}(a) = \{x \in A : x\mathcal{E}a\}.$$

```
Class 1: { (1,2,3,4) , (2,3,4,1) , (3,4,1,2) , (4,1,2,3) } Class 2: { (1,2,4,3) , (2,4,3,1) , (4,3,1,2) , (3,1,2,4) } Class 3: { (1,3,2,4) , (3,2,4,1) , (2,4,1,3) , (4,1,3,2) } Class 4: { (1,3,4,2) , (3,4,2,1) , (4,2,1,3) , (2,1,3,4) } Class 5: { (1,4,2,3) , (4,2,3,1) , (2,3,1,4) , (3,1,4,2) } Class 6: { (1,4,3,2) , (4,3,2,1) , (3,2,1,4) , (2,1,4,3) }
```

It is natural to investigate the set of all elements related to a:

Definition: The equivalence class containing a is the set

$$\mathcal{E}(a) = \{x \in A : x\mathcal{E}a\}.$$

```
Class 1: { (1,2,3,4) , (2,3,4,1) , (3,4,1,2) , (4,1,2,3) } Class 2: { (1,2,4,3) , (2,4,3,1) , (4,3,1,2) , (3,1,2,4) } Class 3: { (1,3,2,4) , (3,2,4,1) , (2,4,1,3) , (4,1,3,2) } Class 4: { (1,3,4,2) , (3,4,2,1) , (4,2,1,3) , (2,1,3,4) } Class 5: { (1,4,2,3) , (4,2,3,1) , (2,3,1,4) , (3,1,4,2) } Class 6: { (1,4,3,2) , (4,3,2,1) , (3,2,1,4) , (2,1,4,3) }
```

▶ Our original question asks to count equivalence classes (!).

It is natural to investigate the set of all elements related to a:

Definition: The equivalence class containing a is the set

$$\mathcal{E}(a) = \{x \in A : x\mathcal{E}a\}.$$

```
Class 1: { (1,2,3,4), (2,3,4,1), (3,4,1,2), (4,1,2,3) } Class 2: { (1,2,4,3), (2,4,3,1), (4,3,1,2), (3,1,2,4) } Class 3: { (1,3,2,4), (3,2,4,1), (2,4,1,3), (4,1,3,2) } Class 4: { (1,3,4,2), (3,4,2,1), (4,2,1,3), (2,1,3,4) } Class 5: { (1,4,2,3), (4,2,3,1), (2,3,1,4), (3,1,4,2) } Class 6: { (1,4,3,2), (4,3,2,1), (3,2,1,4), (2,1,4,3) }
```

- ▶ Our original question asks to count equivalence classes (!).
- ▶ Theorem 1.4.3. If  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(a) = \mathcal{E}(b)$ .

It is natural to investigate the set of all elements related to a:

Definition: The equivalence class containing a is the set

$$\mathcal{E}(a) = \{x \in A : x\mathcal{E}a\}.$$

```
Class 1: { (1,2,3,4) , (2,3,4,1) , (3,4,1,2) , (4,1,2,3) } Class 2: { (1,2,4,3) , (2,4,3,1) , (4,3,1,2) , (3,1,2,4) } Class 3: { (1,3,2,4) , (3,2,4,1) , (2,4,1,3) , (4,1,3,2) } Class 4: { (1,3,4,2) , (3,4,2,1) , (4,2,1,3) , (2,1,3,4) } Class 5: { (1,4,2,3) , (4,2,3,1) , (2,3,1,4) , (3,1,4,2) } Class 6: { (1,4,3,2) , (4,3,2,1) , (3,2,1,4) , (2,1,4,3) }
```

- Our original question asks to count equivalence classes (!).
- ▶ Theorem 1.4.3. If  $a\mathcal{E}b$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(a) = \mathcal{E}(b)$ .
- ▶ Every element of *A* is in *one* and *only one* equivalence class.
  - $\blacktriangleright$  We say: "The equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{E}$  partition A."

## Equivalence classes partition A

Definition: A partition of a set S is a set of non-empty disjoint subsets of S whose union is S.

Example. Partitions of  $S = \{*, \heartsuit, \clubsuit, ?\}$  include:

- $\blacktriangleright \ \left\{ \{*, \stackrel{\bigtriangledown}{\bigtriangledown}\}, \{?\}, \{\clubsuit\} \right\}$
- $\blacktriangleright \{\{\heartsuit, \clubsuit\}, \{*,?\}\}$

Every element is in some subset and no element is in multiple subsets.

## Equivalence classes partition A

Definition: A partition of a set S is a set of non-empty disjoint subsets of S whose union is S.

Example. Partitions of  $S = \{*, \heartsuit, \clubsuit, ?\}$  include:

- ▶  $\{\{*, \heartsuit\}, \{?\}, \{\clubsuit\}\}$
- $\blacktriangleright \left\{ \left\{ \circlearrowleft, \clubsuit \right\}, \left\{ *, ? \right\} \right\}$

Every element is in some subset and no element is in multiple subsets.

**Key idea:** (Thm 1.4.5) The set of equivalence classes of A partitions A.

- ► Every equivalence class is non-empty.
- ▶ Every element of *A* is in *one* and *only one* equivalence class.

# Equivalence classes partition A

Definition: A partition of a set S is a set of non-empty disjoint subsets of S whose union is S.

Example. Partitions of  $S = \{*, \heartsuit, \clubsuit, ?\}$  include:

- ▶  $\{\{*, \heartsuit\}, \{?\}, \{\clubsuit\}\}$
- $\blacktriangleright \{\{\heartsuit, \clubsuit\}, \{*,?\}\}$

Every element is in some subset and no element is in multiple subsets.

**Key idea:** (Thm 1.4.5) The set of equivalence classes of A partitions A.

- ▶ Every equivalence class is non-empty.
- ▶ Every element of *A* is in *one* and *only one* equivalence class.

The equivalence principle: (p. 37) Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an equivalence relation on a finite set A. If every equivalence class has size C, then  $\mathcal{E}$  has |A|/C equivalence classes. (DIVISION!)

Example. How many different orderings are there of the letters in the word MISSISSIPPI?

Setup: If the letters were all distinguishable, we would have a permutation of 11 letters,  $\{M, P, P, I, I, I, S, S, S, S\}$ .

Example. How many different orderings are there of the letters in the word MISSISSIPPI?

Setup: If the letters were all distinguishable, we would have a permutation of 11 letters,  $\{M, P, P, I, I, I, S, S, S, S\}$ .

Define  $a\mathcal{E}b$  if a and b are the same word when color is ignored. (Is this an equivalence relation?)

Example. How many different orderings are there of the letters in the word MISSISSIPPI?

Setup: If the letters were all distinguishable, we would have a permutation of 11 letters,  $\{M, P, P, I, I, I, S, S, S, S\}$ .

Define  $a\mathcal{E}b$  if a and b are the same word when color is ignored. (Is this an equivalence relation?)

Question: How many words are in the same equivalence class?

Example. How many different orderings are there of the letters in the word MISSISSIPPI?

Setup: If the letters were all distinguishable, we would have a permutation of 11 letters,  $\{M, P, P, I, I, I, S, S, S, S\}$ .

Define  $a\mathcal{E}b$  if a and b are the same word when color is ignored. (Is this an equivalence relation?)

Question: How many words are in the same equivalence class?

Alternatively, count directly.

▶ In how many ways can you position the *S*'s?

Example. How many different orderings are there of the letters in the word MISSISSIPPI?

Setup: If the letters were all distinguishable, we would have a permutation of 11 letters,  $\{M, P, P, I, I, I, S, S, S, S\}$ .

Define  $a\mathcal{E}b$  if a and b are the same word when color is ignored. (Is this an equivalence relation?)

Question: How many words are in the same equivalence class?

Alternatively, count directly.

- ▶ In how many ways can you position the S's?
- ▶ With S's placed, how many choices for the I's?
- ▶ With S's, I's placed, how many choices for the P's?
- ▶ With S's, I's, P's placed, how many choices for the M?

Example. In how many ways can we arrange 10 people into five pairs?

Example. In how many ways can we arrange 10 people into five pairs? Setup: Let A be the set of 10-lists,  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_9, a_{10}) = a \in A$ . The list a will represent the pairings  $\{\{a_1, a_2\}, \ldots, \{a_9, a_{10}\}\}$ .

Example. In how many ways can we arrange 10 people into five pairs? Setup: Let A be the set of 10-lists,  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_9, a_{10}) = a \in A$ . The list a will represent the pairings  $\{\{a_1, a_2\}, \ldots, \{a_9, a_{10}\}\}$ .

Define two lists a and b to be equivalent if they give the same pairings. [For example,  $(3, 2, 9, 10, 1, 5, 8, 7, 4, 6) \equiv (2, 3, 9, 10, 1, 5, 6, 4, 8, 7)$ .] (Why is this an equivalence relation?)

Example. In how many ways can we arrange 10 people into five pairs? Setup: Let A be the set of 10-lists,  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_9, a_{10}) = a \in A$ . The list a will represent the pairings  $\{\{a_1, a_2\}, \ldots, \{a_9, a_{10}\}\}$ .

Define two lists a and b to be equivalent if they give the same pairings. [For example,  $(3, 2, 9, 10, 1, 5, 8, 7, 4, 6) \equiv (2, 3, 9, 10, 1, 5, 6, 4, 8, 7)$ .] (Why is this an equivalence relation?)

We ask: How many different 10-lists are in the same equivalence class? Answer:

By the equivalence principle,

Careful: Conjugacy classes might not be of equal size.

Careful: Conjugacy classes might not be of equal size.

Example. Let A be the subsets of [4]. Define  $S\mathcal{E}T$  when |S| = |T|. Determine the number of conjugacy classes of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Careful: Conjugacy classes might not be of equal size.

Example. Let A be the subsets of [4]. Define  $S\mathcal{E}T$  when |S| = |T|. Determine the number of conjugacy classes of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Solution. (NOT) We know that  $\mathcal{E}(\{1\}) = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$ , of size 4. Since |A| = 24, there are  $\frac{24}{4} = 6$  conjugacy classes.

Careful: Conjugacy classes might not be of equal size.

Example. Let A be the subsets of [4]. Define  $S\mathcal{E}T$  when |S| = |T|. Determine the number of conjugacy classes of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Solution. (NOT) We know that  $\mathcal{E}(\{1\}) = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$ , of size 4. Since |A|=24, there are  $\frac{24}{4}=6$  conjugacy classes.

Solution. The conjugacy classes correspond to \_\_\_\_\_\_