Often it makes sense to break down your counting problem into smaller, disjoint, and easier-to-count sub-problems.

Example. How many integers from 1 to 999999 are palindromes?

Often it makes sense to break down your counting problem into smaller, disjoint, and easier-to-count sub-problems.

Example. How many integers from 1 to 999999 are palindromes?

Answer: Condition on how many digits.

- ► Length 1:
- ► Length 2:
- ► Length 3:

- ► Length 4:
- ▶ Length 5,6:
- Total:

Often it makes sense to break down your counting problem into smaller, disjoint, and easier-to-count sub-problems.

Example. How many integers from 1 to 999999 are palindromes? *Answer:* Condition on how many digits.

- Length 1:
   Length 2:
   Length 3:
   Total:
- $\star$  Every palindrome between 1 and 9999999 is counted once.

Often it makes sense to break down your counting problem into smaller, disjoint, and easier-to-count sub-problems.

Example. How many integers from 1 to 999999 are palindromes? *Answer:* Condition on how many digits.

Length 1:	► Length 4:
Length 2:	► Length 5,6:
Length 3:	► Total:

 $\star$  Every palindrome between 1 and 9999999 is counted once.

This illustrates the sum principle:

Suppose the objects to be counted can be broken into k disjoint and exhaustive cases. If there are  $n_j$  objects in case j, then there are  $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$  objects in all.

When counting, there are two common pitfalls:

When counting, there are two common pitfalls:

► Undercounting

When counting, there are two common pitfalls:

Undercounting



When counting, there are two common pitfalls:

- Undercounting
  - ▶ Often, forgetting cases when applying the sum principle.
  - ► Ask: Did I miss something?
- Overcounting

When counting, there are two common pitfalls:

- Undercounting
  - ▶ Often, forgetting cases when applying the sum principle.
  - Ask: Did I miss something?
- Overcounting
  - ▶ Often, misapplying the product principle.
  - Ask: Do cases need to be counted in different ways?
  - Ask: Does the same object appear in multiple ways?

When counting, there are two common pitfalls:

- Undercounting
  - ▶ Often, forgetting cases when applying the sum principle.
  - Ask: Did I miss something?
- Overcounting
  - ▶ Often, misapplying the product principle.
  - ▶ Ask: Do cases need to be counted in different ways?
  - ► Ask: Does the same object appear in multiple ways?

#### Common example: A deck of cards.

There are four suits: Diamond ♦, Heart ♥, Club ♣, Spade ♠. Each has 13 cards: Ace, King, Queen, Jack, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2.

When counting, there are two common pitfalls:

- Undercounting
  - ▶ Often, forgetting cases when applying the sum principle.
  - Ask: Did I miss something?
- Overcounting
  - ▶ Often, misapplying the product principle.
  - ▶ Ask: Do cases need to be counted in different ways?
  - ► Ask: Does the same object appear in multiple ways?

#### Common example: A deck of cards.

There are four suits: Diamond ♦, Heart ♥, Club ♣, Spade ♠. Each has 13 cards: Ace, King, Queen, Jack, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2.

Example. Suppose you are dealt two diamonds between 2 and 10. In how many ways can the product be even?

Example. In Blackjack you are dealt 2 cards: 1 face-up, 1 face-down. In how many ways can the face-down card be an Ace and the face-up card be a Heart  $\heartsuit$ ?

Example. In Blackjack you are dealt 2 cards: 1 face-up, 1 face-down. In how many ways can the face-down card be an Ace and the face-up card be a Heart  $\heartsuit$ ?

Answer: There are \_\_\_\_ aces, so there are \_\_\_\_ choices for the down card.

Example. In Blackjack you are dealt 2 cards: 1 face-up, 1 face-down. In how many ways can the face-down card be an Ace and the face-up card be a Heart  $\heartsuit$ ?

*Answer:* There are \_\_\_\_ aces, so there are \_\_\_\_ choices for the down card. There are \_\_\_\_ hearts, so there are \_\_\_\_ choices for the up card.

Example. In Blackjack you are dealt 2 cards: 1 face-up, 1 face-down. In how many ways can the face-down card be an Ace and the face-up card be a Heart  $\heartsuit$ ?

Answer: There are \_\_\_\_ aces, so there are \_\_\_\_ choices for the down card. There are \_\_\_\_ hearts, so there are \_\_\_\_ choices for the up card. By the product principle, there are 52 ways in all.

Example. In Blackjack you are dealt 2 cards: 1 face-up, 1 face-down. In how many ways can the face-down card be an Ace and the face-up card be a Heart  $\heartsuit$ ?

Answer: There are \_\_\_\_ aces, so there are \_\_\_\_ choices for the down card. There are \_\_\_\_ hearts, so there are \_\_\_\_ choices for the up card. By the product principle, there are 52 ways in all.

Except:

Example. In Blackjack you are dealt 2 cards: 1 face-up, 1 face-down. In how many ways can the face-down card be an Ace and the face-up card be a Heart  $\heartsuit$ ?

Answer: There are \_\_\_\_ aces, so there are \_\_\_\_ choices for the down card. There are \_\_\_\_ hearts, so there are \_\_\_\_ choices for the up card. By the product principle, there are 52 ways in all.

Except:

Remember to ask: Do cases need to be counted in different ways?

Example. How many 4-lists taken from [9] have at least one pair of adjacent elements equal?

Examples: 1114, 1229, 5555 Non-examples: 1231, 9898.

Example. How many 4-lists taken from [9] have at least one pair of adjacent elements equal?

Examples: 1114, 1229, 5555 Non-examples: 1231, 9898.

Strategy:

- 1. Choose where the adjacent equal elements are.
- 2. Choose which number they are.
- 3. Choose the numbers for the remaining elements.



Example. How many 4-lists taken from [9] have at least one pair of adjacent elements equal?

Examples: 1114, 1229, 5555 Non-examples: 1231, 9898.

Strategy:

- 1. Choose where the adjacent equal elements are. (\_\_\_\_\_
- 2. Choose which number they are.
- 3. Choose the numbers for the remaining elements. (

By the product principle, there are \_\_\_\_\_ ways in all.

ways)

ways)

ways)

Example. How many 4-lists taken from [9] have at least one pair of adjacent elements equal?

Examples: 1114, 1229, 5555 Non-examples: 1231, 9898.

Strategy:

- 1. Choose where the adjacent equal elements are. (\_\_\_\_\_
- 2. Choose which number they are.
- 3. Choose the numbers for the remaining elements.

By the product principle, there are \_\_\_\_\_ ways in all.

Except:

ways)

ways)

ways)

Example. How many 4-lists taken from [9] have at least one pair of adjacent elements equal?

Examples: 1114, 1229, 5555 Non-examples: 1231, 9898.

Strategy:

- 1. Choose where the adjacent equal elements are. (\_\_\_\_\_
- 2. Choose which number they are.
- 3. Choose the numbers for the remaining elements. (\_\_\_\_\_ ways)

By the product principle, there are \_\_\_\_\_ ways in all.

Except:

Remember to ask: Does the same object appear in multiple ways?

ways) ways)

**Q1:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **at least one** pair of adjacent elements equal?

-Compare this to-

**Q2:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **no** pairs of adjacent elements equal?

What can we say about: Q1: Q2:

**Q1:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **at least one** pair of adjacent elements equal?

-Compare this to-

**Together:** 

**Q2:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **no** pairs of adjacent elements equal?

What can we say about:

Q1: Q2:

Q3:

**Q1:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **at least one** pair of adjacent elements equal?

-Compare this to-

**Q2:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **no** pairs of adjacent elements equal?

What can we say about:

Q1: Q2: Together:

Q3:

**Strategy:** It is sometimes easier to **count the complement**. Answer to Q3:

**Q1:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **at least one** pair of adjacent elements equal?

-Compare this to-

**Q2:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **no** pairs of adjacent elements equal?

What can we say about:

Q1: Q2: Together:

Q3:

Strategy: It is sometimes easier to count the complement.

Answer to Q3: Answer to Q2:

**Q1:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **at least one** pair of adjacent elements equal?

-Compare this to-

**Q2:** How many 4-lists taken from [9] have **no** pairs of adjacent elements equal?

What can we say about:

Q1: Q2: Together:

Q3:

Strategy: It is sometimes easier to count the complement.

Answer to Q3: Answer to Q2: Answer to Q1:

Example. When playing five-card poker, what is the probability that you are dealt a full house?

[Three cards of one type and two cards of another type.] 5 5 5 K K

Game plan:

Example. When playing five-card poker, what is the probability that you are dealt a full house?

[Three cards of one type and two cards of another type.] 5 5 5 K K

#### Game plan:

- ► Count the total number of hands.
- ► Count the number of possible full houses.



Example. When playing five-card poker, what is the probability that you are dealt a full house?

[Three cards of one type and two cards of another type.] 5 5 5 K K

#### Game plan:

- ► Count the total number of hands.
- Count the number of possible full houses.
  - Choose the denomination of the three-of-a-kind.
  - Choose which three suits they are in.



Example. When playing five-card poker, what is the probability that you are dealt a full house?

[Three cards of one type and two cards of another type.] 5 5 5 K K

#### Game plan:

► Count the total number of hands.

Count the number of possible full houses.

- Choose the denomination of the three-of-a-kind.
- Choose which three suits they are in.
- Choose the denomination of the pair.
- Choose which two suits they are in.
- Apply the multiplication principle.
- ▶ Divide to find the probability.

Example. When playing five-card poker, what is the probability that you are dealt a full house?

[Three cards of one type and two cards of another type.] 5 5 5 K K

#### Game plan:

► Count the total number of hands.

- Count the number of possible full houses. # of ways
  - Choose the denomination of the three-of-a-kind.
  - Choose which three suits they are in.
  - Choose the denomination of the pair.
  - Choose which two suits they are in.
  - Apply the multiplication principle. **Total:**
- ▶ Divide to find the probability.

Example. When playing five-card poker, what is the probability that you are dealt a full house?

[Three cards of one type and two cards of another type.] 5 5 5 K K

#### Game plan:

► Count the total number of hands.

- Count the number of possible full houses. # of ways
  - Choose the denomination of the three-of-a-kind.
  - Choose which three suits they are in.
  - Choose the denomination of the pair.
  - Choose which two suits they are in.
  - Apply the multiplication principle. **Total:**
- ▶ Divide to find the probability.  $\frac{3744}{2598960} \approx 0.14\%$

**Key tool:** A useful method of proving that two sets *A* and *B* are of the same size is by way of a *bijection*.

A **bijection** is a function or rule that pairs up elements of A and B.

**Key tool:** A useful method of proving that two sets *A* and *B* are of the same size is by way of a *bijection*.

A **bijection** is a function or rule that pairs up elements of A and B.

Example. The set A of subsets of  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$  are in bijection with the set B of binary words of length 3.

Set A:  $\{ \emptyset, \{s_1\}, \{s_2\}, \{s_1, s_2\}, \{s_3\}, \{s_1, s_3\}, \{s_2, s_3\}, \{s_1, s_2, s_3\} \}$ 

Set B: {000, 100, 010, 110, 001, 101, 011, 111 }

**Key tool:** A useful method of proving that two sets *A* and *B* are of the same size is by way of a *bijection*.

A **bijection** is a function or rule that pairs up elements of A and B.

Example. The set A of subsets of  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$  are in bijection with the set B of binary words of length 3.

**Key tool:** A useful method of proving that two sets *A* and *B* are of the same size is by way of a *bijection*.

A **bijection** is a function or rule that pairs up elements of A and B.

Example. The set A of subsets of  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$  are in bijection with the set B of binary words of length 3.

**Rule:** Given  $a \in A$ , (a is a subset), define  $b \in B$  (b is a word): If  $s_i \in a$ , then letter i in b is 1. If  $s_i \notin a$ , then letter i in b is 0.

**Key tool:** A useful method of proving that two sets *A* and *B* are of the same size is by way of a *bijection*.

A **bijection** is a function or rule that pairs up elements of A and B.

Example. The set A of subsets of  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$  are in bijection with the set B of binary words of length 3.

**Rule:** Given  $a \in A$ , (a is a subset), define  $b \in B$  (b is a word): If  $s_i \in a$ , then letter i in b is 1. If  $s_i \notin a$ , then letter i in b is 0.

Difficulties:

Finding the function or rule (requires rearranging, ordering)

Proving the function or rule (show it **IS** a bijection).

Reminder: A **function** f from A to B (write  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ) is a rule where for each element  $a \in A$ , f(a) is defined as an element  $b \in B$  (write  $f : a \mapsto b$ ).

Reminder: A **function** f from A to B (write  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ) is a rule where for each element  $a \in A$ , f(a) is defined as an element  $b \in B$  (write  $f : a \mapsto b$ ).

- A is called the **domain**. (We write A = dom(f))
- ▶ *B* is called the **codomain**. (We write B = cod(f))

Reminder: A **function** f from A to B (write  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ) is a rule where for each element  $a \in A$ , f(a) is defined as an element  $b \in B$  (write  $f : a \mapsto b$ ).

- A is called the **domain**. (We write A = dom(f))
- ▶ *B* is called the **codomain**. (We write B = cod(f))
- ► The **range** of *f* is the set of values that *f* takes on:

$$\mathsf{rng}(f) = ig\{ b \in B : f(a) = b ext{ for at least one } a \in A ig\}$$

Reminder: A **function** f from A to B (write  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ) is a rule where for each element  $a \in A$ , f(a) is defined as an element  $b \in B$  (write  $f : a \mapsto b$ ).

- A is called the **domain**. (We write A = dom(f))
- ▶ *B* is called the **codomain**. (We write B = cod(f))
- ► The **range** of *f* is the set of values that *f* takes on:

$$\mathsf{rng}(f) = ig\{ b \in B : f(a) = b ext{ for at least one } a \in A ig\}$$

Example. Let A be the set of 3-subsets of [n] and let B be the set of 3-lists of [n]. Then define  $f : A \to B$  to be the function that takes a 3-subset  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \in A$  (with  $i_1 \le i_2 \le i_3$ ) to the word  $i_1i_2i_3 \in B$ . *Question:* Is rng(f) = B?

Definition: A function  $f : A \to B$  is **one-to-one** (an **injection**) when For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , then  $a_1 = a_2$ .

Definition: A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is **one-to-one** (an **injection**) when For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , then  $a_1 = a_2$ . Equivalently,

For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , then  $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$ .

Definition: A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is **one-to-one** (an **injection**) when For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , then  $a_1 = a_2$ . Equivalently,

For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , then  $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$ . "When the inputs are different, the outputs are different." (picture)

Definition: A function  $f : A \to B$  is **one-to-one** (an **injection**) when For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , then  $a_1 = a_2$ . Equivalently, For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , then  $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$ . "When the inputs are different, the outputs are different." (picture)

Definition: A function  $f : A \to B$  is **onto** (a **surjection**) when For each  $b \in B$ , there exists some  $a \in A$  such that f(a) = b. "Every output gets hit."

Definition: A function  $f : A \to B$  is **one-to-one** (an **injection**) when For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , then  $a_1 = a_2$ . Equivalently,

For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , then  $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$ . "When the inputs are different, the outputs are different." (picture)

Definition: A function  $f : A \to B$  is onto (a surjection) when For each  $b \in B$ , there exists some  $a \in A$  such that f(a) = b. "Every output gets hit."

*Definition:* A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a **bijection** if it is both one-to-one and onto.

Definition: A function  $f : A \to B$  is **one-to-one** (an **injection**) when For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , then  $a_1 = a_2$ . Equivalently, For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , then  $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$ .

"When the inputs are different, the outputs are different." (picture)

Definition: A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is **onto** (a **surjection**) when For each  $b \in B$ , there exists some  $a \in A$  such that f(a) = b. "Every output gets hit."

*Definition:* A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a **bijection** if it is both one-to-one and onto.

The function from the previous page is \_

Definition: A function  $f : A \to B$  is **one-to-one** (an **injection**) when For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ , then  $a_1 = a_2$ . Equivalently, For each  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , if  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , then  $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$ . "When the inputs are different, the outputs are different." (picture)

Definition: A function  $f : A \to B$  is **onto** (a **surjection**) when For each  $b \in B$ , there exists some  $a \in A$  such that f(a) = b. "Every output gets hit."

*Definition:* A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a **bijection** if it is both one-to-one and onto.

The function from the previous page is \_\_\_\_\_. What is an example of a function that is onto and not one-to-one?

Example. Use a bijection to prove that  $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$  for  $0 \le k \le n$ .

Example. Use a bijection to prove that  $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$  for  $0 \le k \le n$ .

*Proof.* Let A be the set of k-subsets of [n] and let B be the set of (n - k)-subsets of [n].

A bijection between A and B will prove  $\binom{n}{k} = |A| = |B| = \binom{n}{n-k}$ .

Example. Use a bijection to prove that  $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$  for  $0 \le k \le n$ .

*Proof.* Let A be the set of k-subsets of [n] and let B be the set of (n - k)-subsets of [n].

A bijection between A and B will prove  $\binom{n}{k} = |A| = |B| = \binom{n}{n-k}$ .

#### Step 1: Find a candidate bijection.

Strategy. Try out a small (enough) example. Try n = 5 and k = 2.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \{1,2\}, \{1,3\} \\ \{1,4\}, \{1,5\} \\ \{2,3\}, \{2,4\} \\ \{2,5\}, \{3,4\} \\ \{3,5\}, \{4,5\} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,4\} \\ \{1,2,5\}, \{1,3,4\} \\ \{1,3,5\}, \{1,4,5\} \\ \{2,3,4\}, \{2,3,5\} \\ \{2,4,5\}, \{3,4,5\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Example. Use a bijection to prove that  $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$  for  $0 \le k \le n$ .

*Proof.* Let A be the set of k-subsets of [n] and let B be the set of (n - k)-subsets of [n].

A bijection between A and B will prove  $\binom{n}{k} = |A| = |B| = \binom{n}{n-k}$ .

#### Step 1: Find a candidate bijection.

Strategy. Try out a small (enough) example. Try n = 5 and k = 2.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \{1,2\}, \ \{1,3\} \\ \{1,4\}, \ \{1,5\} \\ \{2,3\}, \ \{2,4\} \\ \{2,5\}, \ \{3,4\} \\ \{3,5\}, \ \{4,5\} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \{1,2,3\}, \ \{1,2,4\} \\ \{1,2,5\}, \ \{1,3,4\} \\ \{1,3,5\}, \ \{1,4,5\} \\ \{2,3,4\}, \ \{2,3,5\} \\ \{2,4,5\}, \ \{3,4,5\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Guess: Let S be a k-subset of [n]. Perhaps f(S) =

**Step 2: Prove** *f* **is well defined.** 

The function f is well defined. If S is any k-subset of [n], then  $S^c$  is a subset of [n] with n - k members. Therefore  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .

**Step 2: Prove** *f* **is well defined.** 

The function f is well defined. If S is any k-subset of [n], then  $S^c$  is a subset of [n] with n - k members. Therefore  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .

**Step 3: Prove** *f* **is a bijection.** 

Strategy. Prove that f is both one-to-one and onto.

#### **Step 2: Prove** *f* **is well defined.**

The function f is well defined. If S is any k-subset of [n], then  $S^c$  is a subset of [n] with n - k members. Therefore  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .

#### **Step 3: Prove** *f* **is a bijection.**

Strategy. Prove that f is both one-to-one and onto.

*f* is 1-to-1: Suppose that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two *k*-subsets of [n] such that  $f(S_1) = f(S_2)$ . That is,  $S_1^c = S_2^c$ . This means that for all  $i \in [n]$ , then  $i \notin S_1$  if and only if  $i \notin S_2$ . Therefore  $S_1 = S_2$  and *f* is 1-to-1.

#### **Step 2: Prove** *f* **is well defined.**

The function f is well defined. If S is any k-subset of [n], then  $S^c$  is a subset of [n] with n - k members. Therefore  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .

#### **Step 3: Prove** *f* **is a bijection.**

Strategy. Prove that f is both one-to-one and onto.

f is 1-to-1: Suppose that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two k-subsets of [n] such that  $f(S_1) = f(S_2)$ . That is,  $S_1^c = S_2^c$ . This means that for all  $i \in [n]$ , then  $i \notin S_1$  if and only if  $i \notin S_2$ . Therefore  $S_1 = S_2$  and f is 1-to-1.

*f* is onto: Suppose that  $T \in B$  is an (n - k)-subset of [n]. We must find a set  $S \in A$  satisfying f(S) = T. Choose S =\_\_\_\_\_ Then  $S \in A$  (why?), and  $f(S) = S^c = T$ , so *f* is onto.

We conclude that f is a bijection and therefore,  $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$ .

When  $f : A \to B$  is 1-to-1, we can define f's **inverse**. We write  $f^{-1}$ , and it is a function from rng(f) to A. It is defined via f. If  $f : a \mapsto b$ , then  $f^{-1} : b \mapsto a$ .

When  $f : A \to B$  is 1-to-1, we can define f's **inverse**. We write  $f^{-1}$ , and it is a function from rng(f) to A. It is defined via f. If  $f : a \mapsto b$ , then  $f^{-1} : b \mapsto a$ . **Caution:** When f is a function from A to B,  $f^{-1}$  might not be a function from B to A. 36

When  $f : A \to B$  is 1-to-1, we can define f's **inverse**. We write  $f^{-1}$ , and it is a function from rng(f) to A. It is defined via f. If  $f : a \mapsto b$ , then  $f^{-1} : b \mapsto a$ .

**Caution:** When f is a function from A to B,  $f^{-1}$  might not be a function from B to A.

Theorem. Suppose that A and B are finite sets and that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a function. If  $f^{-1}$  is a function with domain B, then f is a bijection.

When  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is 1-to-1, we can define f's **inverse**.

We write  $f^{-1}$ , and it is a function from rng(f) to A.

It is defined via f. If  $f : a \mapsto b$ , then  $f^{-1} : b \mapsto a$ .

**Caution:** When f is a function from A to B,  $f^{-1}$  might not be a function from B to A.

Theorem. Suppose that A and B are finite sets and that  $f : A \to B$  is a function. If  $f^{-1}$  is a function with domain B, then f is a bijection. *Proof.* Since  $f^{-1}$  is only defined when f is 1-to-1, we need only prove that f is onto. Suppose  $b \in B$ . By assumption,  $f^{-1}(b) \in A$  exists and  $f(f^{-1}(b)) = b$ . So f is onto, and is a bijection.

When  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is 1-to-1, we can define f's **inverse**.

We write  $f^{-1}$ , and it is a function from rng(f) to A.

It is defined via f. If  $f : a \mapsto b$ , then  $f^{-1} : b \mapsto a$ .

**Caution:** When f is a function from A to B,  $f^{-1}$  might not be a function from B to A.

Theorem. Suppose that A and B are finite sets and that  $f : A \to B$  is a function. If  $f^{-1}$  is a function with domain B, then f is a bijection. *Proof.* Since  $f^{-1}$  is only defined when f is 1-to-1, we need only prove that f is onto. Suppose  $b \in B$ . By assumption,  $f^{-1}(b) \in A$  exists and  $f(f^{-1}(b)) = b$ . So f is onto, and is a bijection.

**Consequence:** An alternative method for proving a bijection is:

- Find a rule  $g : B \to A$  which always takes f(a) back to a.
- ▶ Verify that the domain of g is all of B.

Example. There exists as many even-sized subsets of [n] as odd-sized subsets of [n].

Example. There exists as many even-sized subsets of [n] as odd-sized subsets of [n].

even: { 
$$\emptyset$$
, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_3$ }, { $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ } }  
odd: {{ $s_1$ }, { $s_2$ }, { $s_3$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ }

Example. There exists as many even-sized subsets of [n] as odd-sized subsets of [n].

even: { 
$$\emptyset$$
, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_3$ }, { $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ } }  
odd: {{ $s_1$ }, { $s_2$ }, { $s_3$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ }

*Proof.* Let A be the set of even-sized subsets of [n] and let B be the set of odd-sized subsets of [n]. Consider the function

$$f(S) = egin{cases} S-\{1\} & ext{if } 1\in S \ S\cup\{1\} & ext{if } 1
otin S \end{pmatrix}$$

▶  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a well defined function from A to B (why?).

Example. There exists as many even-sized subsets of [n] as odd-sized subsets of [n].

even: { 
$$\emptyset$$
, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_3$ }, { $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ } }  
odd: {{ $s_1$ }, { $s_2$ }, { $s_3$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ }

*Proof.* Let A be the set of even-sized subsets of [n] and let B be the set of odd-sized subsets of [n]. Consider the function

$$f(S) = egin{cases} S-\{1\} & ext{if } 1\in S \ S\cup\{1\} & ext{if } 1
otin S \end{pmatrix}$$

*f* : *A* → *B* is a well defined function from *A* to *B* (why?).
 *f*<sup>-1</sup> exists and equals *f* (why?)

Example. There exists as many even-sized subsets of [n] as odd-sized subsets of [n].

even: { 
$$\emptyset$$
, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_3$ }, { $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ } }  
odd: {{ $s_1$ }, { $s_2$ }, { $s_3$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ }

*Proof.* Let A be the set of even-sized subsets of [n] and let B be the set of odd-sized subsets of [n]. Consider the function

$$f(S) = egin{cases} S-\{1\} & ext{if } 1\in S \ S\cup\{1\} & ext{if } 1
otin S \end{pmatrix}$$

*f* : *A* → *B* is a well defined function from *A* to *B* (why?).
 *f*<sup>-1</sup> exists and equals *f* (why?) and has domain *B* (why?).

Example. There exists as many even-sized subsets of [n] as odd-sized subsets of [n].

even: { 
$$\emptyset$$
, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_3$ }, { $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ } }  
odd: {{ $s_1$ }, { $s_2$ }, { $s_3$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ }

*Proof.* Let A be the set of even-sized subsets of [n] and let B be the set of odd-sized subsets of [n]. Consider the function

$$f(S) = egin{cases} S-\{1\} & ext{if } 1\in S \ S\cup\{1\} & ext{if } 1
otin S \end{pmatrix}$$

f: A → B is a well defined function from A to B (why?).
 f<sup>-1</sup> exists and equals f (why?) and has domain B (why?).
 Therefore, f is a bijection, proving the statement, as desired.

Example. There exists as many even-sized subsets of [n] as odd-sized subsets of [n].

even: { 
$$\emptyset$$
, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_3$ }, { $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ } }  
odd: {{ $s_1$ }, { $s_2$ }, { $s_3$ }, { $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ }

*Proof.* Let A be the set of even-sized subsets of [n] and let B be the set of odd-sized subsets of [n]. Consider the function

$$f(S) = egin{cases} S-\{1\} & ext{if } 1\in S \ S\cup\{1\} & ext{if } 1
otin S \end{pmatrix}$$

▶  $f: A \to B$  is a well defined function from A to B (why?). ▶  $f^{-1}$  exists and equals f (why?) and has domain B (why?). Therefore, f is a bijection, proving the statement, as desired. Consequence:  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k {n \choose k} = 0.$