Definition: A directed graph (or digraph) is a graph G = (V, E), where each edge e = vw is directed from one vertex to another:

 $e: v \to w$ or $e: w \to v$.



Definition: A directed graph (or digraph) is a graph G = (V, E), where each edge e = vw is directed from one vertex to another:

$$e: v \to w$$
 or $e: w \to v$.

Remark. The edge $e: v \rightarrow w$ is different from $e': w \rightarrow v$ and a digraph including both is not considered to have multiple edges.



Definition: A **directed graph** (or **digraph**) is a graph G = (V, E), where each edge e = vw is directed from one vertex to another:

 $e: v \to w$ or $e: w \to v$.

Remark. The edge $e: v \rightarrow w$ is different from $e': w \rightarrow v$ and a digraph including both is not considered to have multiple edges.

Definition: The **in-degree** of a vertex *v* is the number of edges directed *toward v*. *Definition:* The **out-degree** of a vertex *v* is the number of edges directed *away from v*.



Definition: A **directed graph** (or **digraph**) is a graph G = (V, E), where each edge e = vw is directed from one vertex to another:

 $e: v \to w$ or $e: w \to v$.

Remark. The edge $e: v \rightarrow w$ is different from $e': w \rightarrow v$ and a digraph including both is not considered to have multiple edges.

Definition: The **in-degree** of a vertex v is the number of edges directed *toward* v. **Definition:** The **out-degree** of a vertex v is the number of edges directed *away from* v.

Definition: A **source** s is a vertex with in-degree 0. *Definition:* A **sink** t is a vertex with out-degree 0.

Definition: A **directed graph** (or **digraph**) is a graph G = (V, E), where each edge e = vw is directed from one vertex to another:

 $e: v \to w$ or $e: w \to v$.

Remark. The edge $e: v \to w$ is different from $e': w \to v$ and a digraph including both is not considered to have multiple edges.

Definition: The **in-degree** of a vertex v is the number of edges directed *toward* v. **Definition:** The **out-degree** of a vertex v is the number of edges directed *away from* v.

Definition: A **source** s is a vertex with in-degree 0. *Definition:* A **sink** t is a vertex with out-degree 0.

Important. Any **path** or **cycle** in a digraph must respect the direction on each edge.

Definition: A **network** is a directed graph with additional structure:

Definition: A **network** is a directed graph with additional structure:

- There are two distinguished vertices, s (a source) and t (a sink).
- ▶ Each edge *e* has a **capacity** *c*_{*e*}. [Some sort of limit on flow.]

Definition: A network is a directed graph with additional structure:

- ▶ There are two distinguished vertices, *s* (a source) and *t* (a sink).
- ▶ Each edge *e* has a **capacity** *c*_{*e*}. [Some sort of limit on flow.]



Definition: A network is a directed graph with additional structure:

- There are two distinguished vertices, s (a source) and t (a sink).
- ▶ Each edge *e* has a **capacity** *c*_{*e*}. [Some sort of limit on flow.]



Idea. Graph networks represent real-world networks such as traffic, water, communication, etc.

Goal: Send as much "stuff" from s to t while respecting capacities.

Definition: Given a network G, a flow $\vec{\varphi} = \{\varphi_e\}_{e \in E(G)}$ on G is an assignment of values φ_e to every edge of G satisfying:

Definition: Given a network G, a flow $\vec{\varphi} = \{\varphi_e\}_{e \in E(G)}$ on G is an assignment of values φ_e to every edge of G satisfying:

•
$$0 \le \varphi_e \le c_e$$
 for every edge $e \in E(G)$.

▶ The flow respects the capacities.

Definition: Given a network G, a flow $\vec{\varphi} = \{\varphi_e\}_{e \in E(G)}$ on G is an assignment of values φ_e to every edge of G satisfying:

•
$$0 \le \varphi_e \le c_e$$
 for every edge $e \in E(G)$.

▶ The flow respects the capacities.

$$\blacktriangleright \sum_{e \text{ into } v} \varphi_e = \sum_{e \text{ out of } v} \varphi_e \text{ for every vertex } v \in V(G) \text{ except } s \text{ or } t.$$

▶ Obeys "conservation of flow" except at s and t.

Definition: Given a network G, a flow $\vec{\varphi} = \{\varphi_e\}_{e \in E(G)}$ on G is an assignment of values φ_e to every edge of G satisfying:

•
$$0 \le \varphi_e \le c_e$$
 for every edge $e \in E(G)$.

▶ The flow respects the capacities.

$$\blacktriangleright \sum_{e \text{ into } v} \varphi_e = \sum_{e \text{ out of } v} \varphi_e \text{ for every vertex } v \in V(G) \text{ except } s \text{ or } t.$$

Obeys "conservation of flow" except at s and t.



Definition: When $\varphi_e = c_e$, we say that *e* is **saturated**, or **at capacity**.

Theorem. Given a flow $\vec{\varphi}$ on a network G, the net flow out of s is equal to the net flow into t. Symbolically, $\sum \varphi_e = \sum \varphi_e$.

e out of s e into t

Theorem. Given a flow $\vec{\varphi}$ on a network G, the net flow out of s is equal to the net flow into t. Symbolically, $\sum_{e \text{ out of } s} \varphi_e = \sum_{e \text{ into } t} \varphi_e$.

Proof. Create a new network G' by adding to G an edge $e_{\infty}: t \to s$ with infinite capacity, and place flow

$$\varphi_{\infty} = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} \varphi_{e}$$

on e_{∞} .

Theorem. Given a flow $\vec{\varphi}$ on a network G, the net flow out of s is equal to the net flow into t. Symbolically, $\sum_{e \text{ out of } s} \varphi_e = \sum_{e \text{ into } t} \varphi_e$.

Proof. Create a new network G' by adding to G an edge $e_{\infty}: t \to s$ with infinite capacity, and place flow

$$\varphi_{\infty} = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} \varphi_{e}$$

on e_{∞} .

In G', flow is now conserved at every vertex except possibly t. By Kirchhoff's Global Current Law (Theorem 6.2.2), flow must be conserved at t as well.

Definition: The **throughput** or **value** of a flow $\vec{\varphi}$ is $\sum_{e \text{ out of } s} \varphi_e$, denoted $|\vec{\varphi}|$.

Idea: The throughput is the amount of "stuff" flowing through *G*.

In our example, $|\vec{\varphi}| =$





Definition: The **throughput** or **value** of a flow $\vec{\varphi}$ is $\sum_{e \text{ out of } s} \varphi_e$, denoted $|\vec{\varphi}|$.

Idea: The throughput is the amount of "stuff" flowing through *G*.

In our example, $|\vec{\varphi}| =$

Goal: For a given network, find the flow with the largest throughput.

This problem is called maximum flow.





Definition: The **throughput** or **value** of a flow $\vec{\varphi}$ is $\sum_{e \text{ out of } s} \varphi_e$, denoted $|\vec{\varphi}|$.

Idea: The throughput is the amount of "stuff" flowing through *G*.

In our example, $|\vec{\varphi}| =$

Goal: For a given network, find the flow with the largest throughput.

This problem is called **maximum flow**.



 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{maximize} \\ \mathsf{over all flows} \ \vec{\varphi} \ \mathsf{on} \ \mathbf{G} \end{array}$

 $ec{arphi}$





A related problem in network theory has to do with *st*-cuts.

A related problem in network theory has to do with *st*-cuts.

Definition: Let G be a network. Let X be a set of vertices containing s and not containing t. An st-cut $[X, X^c]$ is the set of edges between a vertex in X and a vertex in X^c (in either direction).



A related problem in network theory has to do with *st*-cuts.

Definition: Let G be a network. Let X be a set of vertices containing s and not containing t. An st-cut $[X, X^c]$ is the set of edges between a vertex in X and a vertex in X^c (in either direction).



Definition: The **capacity** of an *st*-cut, denoted $|[X, X^c]|$ is the sum of the capacities of the edges **from** a vertex in X **to** a vertex in X^c .

A related problem in network theory has to do with *st*-cuts.

Definition: Let G be a network. Let X be a set of vertices containing s and not containing t. An st-cut $[X, X^c]$ is the set of edges between a vertex in X and a vertex in X^c (in either direction).



Definition: The **capacity** of an *st*-cut, denoted $|[X, X^c]|$ is the sum of the capacities of the edges **from** a vertex in X **to** a vertex in X^c .

Idea: The capacity of a cut is a limit for how much "stuff" can go from X to X^c .

A related problem in network theory has to do with *st*-cuts.

Definition: Let G be a network. Let X be a set of vertices containing s and not containing t. An st-cut $[X, X^c]$ is the set of edges between a vertex in X and a vertex in X^c (in either direction).



Definition: The **capacity** of an *st*-cut, denoted $|[X, X^c]|$ is the sum of the capacities of the edges **from** a vertex in X **to** a vertex in X^c .

Idea: The capacity of a cut is a limit for how much "stuff" can go from X to X^c .

 \star Do **not** subtract the capacities of the edges going the other way. \star

Goal: For a given network, find the *st*-cut with the smallest capacity.

This problem is called **minimum cut**.

Goal: For a given network, find the *st*-cut with the smallest capacity. This problem is called **minimum cut**.

MIN CUT minimize $|[X, X^c]|$ over all cuts $[X, X^c]$ on G

The problems Max Flow and Min Cut are related because for any flow $\vec{\varphi}$, the net flow through the edges of any *st*-cut $[X, X^c]$ is at most the capacity of $[X, X^c]$. This proves:

Goal: For a given network, find the *st*-cut with the smallest capacity. This problem is called **minimum cut**.

 $\begin{array}{cc} \mathsf{MIN} \ \mathsf{CUT} & \mbox{minimize} & |[X, X^c]| \\ & \mbox{over all cuts } [X, X^c] \ \text{on } G \end{array}$

The problems Max Flow and Min Cut are related because for any flow $\vec{\varphi}$, the net flow through the edges of any *st*-cut $[X, X^c]$ is at most the capacity of $[X, X^c]$. This proves:

Theorem. For any flow $\vec{\varphi}$ and *st*-cut $[X, X^c]$, $|\vec{\varphi}| \leq |[X, X^c]|$.

Goal: For a given network, find the *st*-cut with the smallest capacity. This problem is called **minimum cut**.

MIN CUT minimize $|[X, X^c]|$ over all cuts $[X, X^c]$ on G

The problems Max Flow and Min Cut are related because for any flow $\vec{\varphi}$, the net flow through the edges of any *st*-cut $[X, X^c]$ is at most the capacity of $[X, X^c]$. This proves:

Theorem. For any flow $\vec{\varphi}$ and *st*-cut $[X, X^c]$, $|\vec{\varphi}| \leq |[X, X^c]|$.

Theorem. For any maximum flow $\vec{\varphi}^*$ and minimum *st*-cut $[X^*, X^{*c}]$,

 $|\vec{\varphi}^*| \leq |[X^*, X^{*c}]|.$

Goal: For a given network, find the *st*-cut with the smallest capacity. This problem is called **minimum cut**.

MIN CUT minimize $|[X, X^c]|$ over all cuts $[X, X^c]$ on G

The problems Max Flow and Min Cut are related because for any flow $\vec{\varphi}$, the net flow through the edges of any *st*-cut $[X, X^c]$ is at most the capacity of $[X, X^c]$. This proves:

Theorem. For any flow $\vec{\varphi}$ and *st*-cut $[X, X^c]$, $|\vec{\varphi}| \leq |[X, X^c]|$.

Theorem. For any maximum flow $\vec{\varphi}^*$ and minimum *st*-cut $[X^*, X^{*c}]$,

$$|\vec{\varphi}^*| \le |[X^*, X^{*c}]|.$$

So, if there exists a flow $\vec{\varphi}$ and *st*-cut $[X^*, X^{*c}]$ where equality holds, then $\vec{\varphi}$ is a maximum flow and $[X^*, X^{*c}]$ is a minimum cut

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut. **Proof.** Use the Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm to find a max flow.

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

- **Proof**. Use the Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm to find a max flow.
- Idea: Similar to the Hungarian Algorithm for finding a max matching, we will augment an existing flow $\vec{\varphi}$.

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

- **Proof**. Use the Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm to find a max flow.
- Idea: Similar to the Hungarian Algorithm for finding a max matching, we will augment an existing flow $\vec{\varphi}$.

Question. What does it look like to augment a flow?



Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

Proof. Use the Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm to find a max flow.

Idea: Similar to the Hungarian Algorithm for finding a max matching, we will augment an existing flow $\vec{\varphi}$.

Question. What does it look like to augment a flow?



We can augment in the forward direction when ______ We can augment in the backward direction when ______

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

Proof. Use the Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm to find a max flow.

Idea: Similar to the Hungarian Algorithm for finding a max matching, we will augment an existing flow $\vec{\varphi}$.

Question. What does it look like to augment a flow?



We can augment in the forward direction when _____. We can augment in the backward direction when _____.

We'll create a companion graph to keep track of augmenting paths.

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

Proof. Use the Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm, which finds a max flow.

1 Start with any flow $\vec{\varphi}$ on *G*.

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

Proof. Use the Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm, which finds a max flow.

- **1** Start with any flow $\vec{\varphi}$ on *G*.
- 2 Draw the flow companion graph using the underlying graph
 - If $\varphi_e = 0$, orient the edge *e* forward only.
 - ▶ If $0 < \varphi_e < c_e$, orient the edge *e* both forward and backward.

• $\varphi_e = c_e$, orient the edge *e* backward only.

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

Proof. Use the Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm, which finds a max flow.

- **1** Start with any flow $\vec{\varphi}$ on *G*.
- 2 Draw the flow companion graph using the underlying graph
 - If $\varphi_e = 0$, orient the edge *e* forward only.
 - ▶ If $0 < \varphi_e < c_e$, orient the edge *e* both forward and backward.
 - $\varphi_e = c_e$, orient the edge *e* backward only.
- * If there is an *st*-path in the flow companion graph, send as many units of flow as possible through this path. Repeat Step 2.

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

Proof. Use the Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm, which finds a max flow.

- **1** Start with any flow $\vec{\varphi}$ on *G*.
- 2 Draw the flow companion graph using the underlying graph
 - If $\varphi_e = 0$, orient the edge *e* forward only.
 - ▶ If $0 < \varphi_e < c_e$, orient the edge *e* both forward and backward.

• $\varphi_e = c_e$, orient the edge *e* backward only.

* If there is an *st*-path in the flow companion graph, send as many units of flow as possible through this path. Repeat Step 2.
 * If there is no *st*-path in the flow companion graph, STOP.

Theorem. (Ford, Fulkerson, 1955) In any network G, the value of any maximum flow is equal to the capacity of any minimum cut.

Proof. Use the Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm, which finds a max flow.

- **1** Start with any flow $\vec{\varphi}$ on *G*.
- 2 Draw the flow companion graph using the underlying graph
 - If $\varphi_e = 0$, orient the edge *e* forward only.
 - ▶ If $0 < \varphi_e < c_e$, orient the edge *e* both forward and backward.
 - $\varphi_e = c_e$, orient the edge *e* backward only.
- If there is an st-path in the flow companion graph, send as many units of flow as possible through this path. Repeat Step 2.
 ★ If there is no st-path in the flow companion graph, STOP.
 → Upon STOP, the current flow is a maximum flow. ←
 In addition, let X be the set of vertices reachable from s in the flow companion graph. Then [X, X^c] is a minimum st-cut.



























Claim. The Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm gives a maximum flow.

Claim. The Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm gives a maximum flow. Proof. We must show that the algorithm always stops, and that when it stops, the output is indeed a maximum flow.

Claim. The Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm gives a maximum flow. Proof. We must show that the algorithm always stops, and that when it stops, the output is indeed a maximum flow.

 \star We will consider the case of integer capacities.

Claim. The Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm gives a maximum flow. Proof. We must show that the algorithm always stops, and that when it stops, the output is indeed a maximum flow.

 \star We will consider the case of integer capacities.

The algorithm terminates.

- ▶ Each iteration increases the throughput of the flow by an integer.
- ▶ The sum of the capacities on the edges out of *s* is finite.

Claim. The Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm gives a maximum flow. Proof. We must show that the algorithm always stops, and that when it stops, the output is indeed a maximum flow.

 \star We will consider the case of integer capacities.

The algorithm terminates.

- ▶ Each iteration increases the throughput of the flow by an integer.
- ▶ The sum of the capacities on the edges out of *s* is finite.

The output is a maximum flow. Upon termination:

There are no flow augmenting paths in the companion graph

Claim. The Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm gives a maximum flow. Proof. We must show that the algorithm always stops, and that when it stops, the output is indeed a maximum flow.

 \star We will consider the case of integer capacities.

The algorithm terminates.

- ▶ Each iteration increases the throughput of the flow by an integer.
- ▶ The sum of the capacities on the edges out of *s* is finite.

The output is a maximum flow. Upon termination:

- ▶ There are no flow augmenting paths in the companion graph, so:
- Edges from X to X^c are full and edges from X^c to X are empty.

Claim. The Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm gives a maximum flow. Proof. We must show that the algorithm always stops, and that when it stops, the output is indeed a maximum flow.

 \star We will consider the case of integer capacities.

The algorithm terminates.

- ▶ Each iteration increases the throughput of the flow by an integer.
- ▶ The sum of the capacities on the edges out of *s* is finite.

The output is a maximum flow. Upon termination:

- ▶ There are no flow augmenting paths in the companion graph, so:
- Edges from X to X^c are full and edges from X^c to X are empty.
- ▶ The capacity of $[X, X^c]$ equals the throughput of the flow.

Claim. The Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm gives a maximum flow. Proof. We must show that the algorithm always stops, and that when it stops, the output is indeed a maximum flow.

 \star We will consider the case of integer capacities.

The algorithm terminates.

- ▶ Each iteration increases the throughput of the flow by an integer.
- ▶ The sum of the capacities on the edges out of *s* is finite.

The output is a maximum flow. Upon termination:

- ▶ There are no flow augmenting paths in the companion graph, so:
- Edges from X to X^c are full and edges from X^c to X are empty.
- ▶ The capacity of $[X, X^c]$ equals the throughput of the flow.

Conclusion. The flow is a max flow and the *st*-cut is a min cut.

Closing Remarks

When using the algorithm, it is important to increase the flow by as much as possible at each step.

Closing Remarks

- When using the algorithm, it is important to increase the flow by as much as possible at each step.
- When the capacities are integers, we always increase the throughput by integers. The algorithm does work when the capacities are not integers, but the proof is more involved.

Closing Remarks

- When using the algorithm, it is important to increase the flow by as much as possible at each step.
- When the capacities are integers, we always increase the throughput by integers. The algorithm does work when the capacities are not integers, but the proof is more involved.
- ▶ As presented here, this algorithm may be very slow.

