




(Vertex) Colorings

Definition: A **coloring** of a graph G is a labeling of the vertices of G with colors. [Technically, it is a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$.]

Definition: A **proper coloring** of G is a coloring of G such that no two adjacent vertices are labeled with the same color.

Example. W_6 :



We can properly color W_6 with _____ colors and no fewer.

Of interest: What is the fewest colors necessary to properly color G ?

The chromatic number of a graph

Definition: The minimum number of colors necessary to properly color a graph G is called the **chromatic number** of G , denoted $\chi(G) = \text{“chi”}$.

Example. $\chi(K_n) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$

Proof. A proper coloring of K_n must use at least $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ colors, because every vertex is adjacent to every other vertex. With fewer than $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ colors, there would be two adjacent vertices colored the same. And indeed, placing a different color on each vertex is a proper coloring of K_n .

★ $\chi(G) = k$ is the same as:

- 1 There is a proper coloring of G with k colors. (Show it!)
- 2 There is no proper coloring of G with $k - 1$ colors. (Prove it!)


Chromatic numbers and subgraphs

Lemma C: If H is a subgraph of G , then $\chi(H) \leq \chi(G)$.

Pf. If $\chi(G) = k$, then there is a proper coloring of G using k colors. Let the vertices of H inherit their coloring from G . This gives a proper coloring of H using k colors. In turn, this implies $\chi(H) \leq k$.

If G contains a **clique** of size k (subgraph isomorphic to K_k), then what can we say about $\chi(G)$?

Example. Calculate $\chi(G)$ for this graph G :



Critical graphs

How to prove $\chi(G) \geq k$?

One way: Find a (small) subgraph H of G that **requires** k colors.

Definition: A graph H is called **critical** if for every proper subgraph $J \subsetneq H$, then $\chi(J) < \chi(H)$.

Theorem 2.1.2: Every graph G contains a critical subgraph H such that $\chi(H) = \chi(G)$.

(Stupid) Proof. If G is critical, stop. Define $H = G$.

If not, then there exists a proper subgraph G_1 of G with _____.

If G_1 is critical, stop. Define $H = G_1$.

If not, then there exists a proper subgraph G_2 of G_1 with _____.

If G_2 is critical, stop. Define $H = G_2$.

If not, then there exists ...

Since G is finite, there will be some proper subgraph G_l of G_{l-1} such that G_l is critical and $\chi(G_l) = \chi(G_{l-1}) = \dots = \chi(G)$.

Critical graphs

What do we know about critical graphs?

Thm 2.1.1: Every critical graph is connected.

Thm 2.1.3: If G is critical and $\chi(G) = 4$, then $\deg(v) \geq 3$ for all v .

Proof. Suppose not. Then there is some $v \in V(G)$ with $\deg(v) \leq 2$. Remove v from G to create H .

Similarly: If G is critical, then for all $v \in V(G)$, $\deg(v) \geq \chi(G) - 1$.

Bipartite graphs

Question: What is $\chi(C_n)$ when n is odd?

Answer:

Definition: A graph is called **bipartite** if $\chi(G) \leq 2$.

Example. $K_{m,n}$, \square_n , Trees

Thm 2.1.6: G is bipartite \iff every cycle in G has even length.

(\implies) Let G be bipartite. Assume that there is some cycle C of odd length contained in G ...

Proof of Theorem 2.1.6

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that every cycle in G has even length. We want to show that G is bipartite. Consider the case when G is connected.

Plan: Construct a coloring on G and prove that it is proper.

Choose some starting vertex x and color it **blue**. For every other vertex y , calculate the distance from y to x and then color y :

$$\begin{cases} \text{blue} & \text{if } d(x, y) \text{ is even.} \\ \text{red} & \text{if } d(x, y) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Question: Is this a proper coloring of G ?

Suppose not. Then there are two vertices v and w of the same color that are adjacent. This generates a contradiction because there exists an odd cycle as follows:


Edge Coloring

Parallel to the idea of vertex coloring is the idea of edge coloring.

Definition: An **edge coloring** of a graph G is a labeling of the edges of G with colors. [Technically, it is a function $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$.]

Definition: A **proper** edge coloring of G is an edge coloring of G such that no two *adjacent edges* are colored the same.

Example. Cube graph (\square_3):



We can properly edge color \square_3 with _____ colors and no fewer.

Definition: The minimum number of colors necessary to properly edge color a graph G is called the **edge chromatic number** of G , denoted $\chi'(G)$ = “chi prime”.

Edge coloring theorems

Thm 2.2.1: For any graph G , $\chi'(G) \geq \Delta(G)$.


Thm 2.2.2: Vizing's Theorem:

For any graph G , $\chi'(G)$ equals either $\Delta(G)$ or $\Delta(G) + 1$.

Proof. Hard. (See reference [24] if interested.)

Consequence: To determine $\chi'(G)$,

Fact: **Most** 3-regular graphs have edge chromatic number 3.



Snarks

Definition: Another name for 3-regular is **cubic**.

Definition: A **snark** is a cubic graph with edge chromatic number 4.




Example. The Petersen graph P is a snark. It is 3-regular. ✓

Let us prove that it can not be colored with three colors.

Assume you can color it with three colors. WLOG, assume ab , ac , ad .

Either **Case 1:** be and bi or **Case 2:** be and bi .

Either **Case 1a:** ig and ij or **Case 1b:** ig and ij .



Snarks

Definition: Another name for 3-regular is **cubic**.

Definition: A **snark** is a cubic graph with edge chromatic number 4.


Example. The Petersen graph P is a snark. It is 3-regular. ✓

Let us prove that it can not be colored with three colors.

Assume you can color it with three colors. WLOG, assume ab , ac , ad .

Either **Case 1:** be and bi or **Case 2:** be and bi .

Either **Case 1a:** ig and ij or **Case 1b:** ig and ij . **Cases 2a, 2b**



In all cases, it is not possible to edge color with 3 colors, so $\chi'(G) = 4$.

The edge chromatic number of complete graphs

Goal: Determine $\chi'(K_n)$ for all n .

Vertex Degree Analysis: The degree of every vertex in K_n is ____.

Vizing's theorem implies that $\chi'(K_n) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ or $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$.

If $\chi'(K_n) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$, then each vertex has an edge leaving of each color.

Question: How many **red** edges are there?

This is only an integer when:

So, the best we can expect is that
$$\begin{cases} \chi'(K_{2n}) = \\ \chi'(K_{2n-1}) = \end{cases}$$

The edge chromatic number of complete graphs

Thm 2.2.3: $\chi'(K_{2n}) = 2n - 1$.

Proof. We prove this using the *turning trick*.

Label the vertices of K_{2n}

$0, 1, \dots, 2n - 2, x$. Now,

Connect 0 with x ,

Connect 1 with $2n - 2$,

\vdots

Connect $n - 1$ with n .


Now **turn** the inside edges.

And do it again. (and again, ...)

Each time, new edges are used.

This is because each of the

edges is a different “circular length”: vertices are at circ. distance $1, 3, 5, \dots, 4, 2$ from each other, and x is connected to a different vertex each time.



The edge chromatic number of complete graphs


Theorem 2.2.4: $\chi'(K_{2n-1}) = 2n - 1$.

This construction also gives a way to edge color K_{2n-1} with $2n - 1$ colors—simply delete vertex x !

This is related to the area of combinatorial designs.

Question: Is it possible for six tennis players to play one match per day in a five-day tournament in such a way that each player plays each other player once?

Day 1	0x	14	23
Day 2	1x	20	34
Day 3	2x	31	40
Day 4	3x	42	01
Day 5	4x	03	12



Theorem 2.2.3 proves there is such a tournament for all even numbers.