## Vectors

We will be using vectors and matrices to store and manipulate data.
Definition: A vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}$ is a column of numbers. Use bold faced letters or vector signs to distinguish vectors from other variables.
We refer to the entries of a vector by using subscripts.
The length of a vector is the number of entries it has. (normally $n$ )
Example. $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2 \\ 3\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right]^{T}$.

## Vectors

We will be using vectors and matrices to store and manipulate data.
Definition: A vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}$ is a column of numbers. Use bold faced letters or vector signs to distinguish vectors from other variables.
We refer to the entries of a vector by using subscripts.
The length of a vector is the number of entries it has. (normally $n$ )
Example. $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2 \\ 3\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right]^{T}$.
Example. Use a vector to represent the age distribution of a population: let $F_{i}$ be the number of females with ages in the interval $[5 i, 5(i+1))$. We can represent the total female population by the vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}$. The females from 0 up to 5 are counted in $F_{0}$;

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
F_{0} \\
F_{1} \\
F_{2} \\
\vdots \\
F_{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$ those from 5 up to 10 are counted in $F_{1}$, etc.

## Matrices

Definition: A matrix $A$ is a two-dimensional array of numbers. A matrix with $m$ rows and $n$ columns is called an " $m \times n$ by $n$ " matrix.
$\star$ Row by column - Row by column - Row by column $\star$
Note: A vector can be thought of as an $n \times 1$ matrix.
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Example. A generic $2 \times 3$ matrix has the form $A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3}\end{array}\right]$.
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Matrices are denoted by a capital letter. Entries are lower case and have two subscripts, the corresponding row and column.
Example. A generic $2 \times 3$ matrix has the form $A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3}\end{array}\right]$. Definition: The matrix $B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}30 & 50 \\ 100 & 250\end{array}\right]$ is a square matrix because it has the same number of rows as columns.

## Matrices

Example. We will sometimes interpret a matrix as a transition matrix. In this case, the matrix is square (say $n \times n$ ), where the $n$ rows and $n$ columns correspond to certain states (situations).
An entry $a_{i, j}$ represents transitioning from state $j$ to state $i$.

## Matrices

Example. We will sometimes interpret a matrix as a transition matrix. In this case, the matrix is square (say $n \times n$ ), where the $n$ rows and $n$ columns correspond to certain states (situations).
An entry $a_{i, j}$ represents transitioning from state $j$ to state $i$.
Example. In our population example, suppose we want to model people getting older, transitioning from one state (age group) to the next. We would set up a transition matrix such as:

FROM state:

|  | $\left[\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{ \pm}{0}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |
| * | $0 \begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |
| 은 | $0 \begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ |

because everyone in the first age group will move to the second age group $\left(a_{2,1}\right)$, everyone in state 2 will move to state 3 , $\left(a_{3,2}\right)$, etc.

## Matrix Multiplication

The power of matrices arises in their multiplication.
Given two matrices, $A$ of size $m \times k$ and $B$ of size $I \times n$, we can find the product $A B$ if and only if $k$ equals $l$.
Let $A$ be an $m \times k$ matrix and $B, k \times n$. Then $A B$ is of size $m \times n$.

## Matrix Multiplication

The power of matrices arises in their multiplication.
Given two matrices, $A$ of size $m \times k$ and $B$ of size $I \times n$, we can find the product $A B$ if and only if $k$ equals $l$.
Let $A$ be an $m \times k$ matrix and $B, k \times n$. Then $A B$ is of size $m \times n$.
To calculate the entries of $A B$, remember: "Row by column":

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 4 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0 & 6 \\
-4 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigcirc & \bigcirc & \bigcirc \\
\bigcirc & \bigcirc & \bigcirc
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Matrix Multiplication

The power of matrices arises in their multiplication.
Given two matrices, $A$ of size $m \times k$ and $B$ of size $I \times n$, we can find the product $A B$ if and only if $k$ equals $l$.
Let $A$ be an $m \times k$ matrix and $B, k \times n$. Then $A B$ is of size $m \times n$.
To calculate the entries of $A B$, remember: "Row by column":

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 4 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0 & 6 \\
-4 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bigcirc & \bigcirc & \bigcirc \\
\bigcirc & \bigcirc & \bigcirc
\end{array}\right]
$$

When we write $A^{2}$, this means $A A ; A^{3}$ means $A A A$, etc.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \bigcirc & \bigcirc \\
0 & 1 & \bigcirc \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## The power of transition matrices

Example. Modeling a changing population using a matrix model.
Let us choose a size of age interval $\Delta=5$ years ("Delta"), and divide the female population into states:

State 0: ages $[0,5)$ with $F_{0}=150$ females
State 1: ages $[5,10)$ with $F_{1}=200$ females
State 2: ages $[10,15)$ with $F_{2}=180$ females
State 3: ages $[15,20)$ with $F_{3}=120$ females
State 4: ages $[20,25)$ with $F_{4}=60$ females
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Example. Modeling a changing population using a matrix model.
Let us choose a size of age interval $\Delta=5$ years ("Delta"), and divide the female population into states:
age distribution vector:
State 0: ages $[0,5)$ with $F_{0}=150$ females
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## The power of transition matrices

Example. Modeling a changing population using a matrix model.
Let us choose a size of age interval $\Delta=5$ years ("Delta"), and divide the female population into states:
age distribution vector:
State 0: ages $[0,5)$ with $F_{0}=150$ females
$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}150 \\ 200 \\ 180 \\ 120 \\ 60\end{array}\right]$

Using a transition matrix, we can determine the population in 5 years:

$$
A \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
150 \\
200 \\
180 \\
120 \\
60
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
150 \\
200 \\
180 \\
120
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Leslie Matrices

The transition matrix in the previous example is not entirely realistic, because people die and are born

To take death into account, modify:
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To take birth into account, modify:
(i females !)
The resulting transition matrix is called a Leslie matrix:
Let $m_{i}$ be the average number of females that women in state $i$ bear.
Let $p_{i}$ be the fraction of women in state $i$ that survive to state $i+1$.

## Leslie Matrices

The transition matrix in the previous example is not entirely realistic, because people die and are born

To take death into account, modify:
To take birth into account, modify:
(i females !)
The resulting transition matrix is called a Leslie matrix:
Let $m_{i}$ be the average number of females that women in state $i$ bear. Let $p_{i}$ be the fraction of women in state $i$ that survive to state $i+1$.
then $\left[\begin{array}{c}F_{0}(t+\Delta) \\ F_{1}(t+\Delta) \\ F_{2}(t+\Delta) \\ \vdots \\ F_{n-1}(t+\Delta)\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}m_{0} & m_{1} & m_{2} & \cdots & m_{n-1} \\ p_{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & p_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & p_{n-2} & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}F_{0}(t) \\ F_{1}(t) \\ F_{2}(t) \\ \vdots \\ F_{n-1}(t)\end{array}\right]$

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(t+\Delta)=M \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(t)
$$

## Leslie Matrices

Example. An animal population example (p. 47)
The population in three age groups, $F_{0}=80, F_{1}=40$, and $F_{2}=20$.
Suppose that as $\Delta$ time passes, everyone in state 2 dies, and one quarter of everyone else dies. Also suppose that the age-specific maternity rates are $m_{0}=0, m_{1}=1$, and $m_{2}=2$. Determine the Leslie matrix and the population distributions at times $\Delta$ and $2 \Delta$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll} 
& 0 & 0 \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
80 \\
40 \\
20
\end{array}\right]=[]=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(\Delta)} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll} 
& 0 & 0 \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\end{array}\right]=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(2 \Delta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Leslie Matrices

Example. Problem 1.5.6 from page 51.
(a) For the Leslie matrix $M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 / 2 & 2 \\ 1 / 2 & 0\end{array}\right]$, show that

$$
M\left[\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=2\left[\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } M\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$
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- A Leslie matrix model is more descriptively realistic than the exponential model from Section 1.4, yet gives the same results.
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Example. Problem 1.5.6 from page 51.
(a) For the Leslie matrix $M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 / 2 & 2 \\ 1 / 2 & 0\end{array}\right]$, show that
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1
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$$
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1
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(c) Find $\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{n} \\ y_{n}\end{array}\right]=M^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{0} \\ y_{0}\end{array}\right]$ using parts (a) and (b).
(d) Show that the total population $P_{n} \approx P_{0} 2^{n}$.

- A Leslie matrix model is more descriptively realistic than the exponential model from Section 1.4, yet gives the same results.
- We've just worked with eigenvalues and eigenvectors!


## Markov Chains

A Markov chain is a sequence of random variables from some sample space, each corresponding to a successive time interval. From one time interval to the next, there is a fixed probability $a_{i, j}$ of transitioning from state $j$ to state $i$. No transition depends on a past transition. Keep track of these probabilities in an associated transition matrix $A$.
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## Markov Chains

A Markov chain is a sequence of random variables from some sample space, each corresponding to a successive time interval. From one time interval to the next, there is a fixed probability $a_{i, j}$ of transitioning from state $j$ to state $i$. No transition depends on a past transition.

Keep track of these probabilities in an associated transition matrix $A$.
Example. Suppose you run a rental company based in Orlando and Tampa, Florida. People often drive between the cities; cars can be picked up and dropped off in either city. Suppose that historically,


What distribution of cars can the company expect in the long run?

## Markov Chains

We will model this situation with a Markov Chain.
The historical data suggest that with a probability of 0.6 , a car in Orlando at time $n$ will be in Orlando at time $n+1$. Use this and the other expected transition probabilities to form the transition matrix $A$.
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The historical data suggest that with a probability of 0.6 , a car in Orlando at time $n$ will be in Orlando at time $n+1$. Use this and the other expected transition probabilities to form the transition matrix $A$.


- Let $o_{n}$ be the probability that a car is in Orlando on day $n$
- Let $t_{n}$ be the probability that a car is in Tampa on day $n$.

We can represent the distribution of cars at time $n$ with the vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{l}o_{n} \\ t_{n}\end{array}\right]$.
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The historical data suggest that with a probability of 0.6 , a car in Orlando at time $n$ will be in Orlando at time $n+1$. Use this and the other expected transition probabilities to form the transition matrix $A$.

## FROM:

Or Tm


- Let $o_{n}$ be the probability that a car is in Orlando on day $n$
- Let $t_{n}$ be the probability that a car is in Tampa on day $n$.

We can represent the distribution of cars at time $n$ with the vector

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
o_{n} \\
t_{n}
\end{array}\right] . \text { And so, } \overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
o_{n+1} \\
t_{n+1}
\end{array}\right]=A \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
o_{n} \\
t_{n}
\end{array}\right]=A \overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{n} .
$$

## Markov Chains

We will model this situation with a Markov Chain.
The historical data suggest that with a probability of 0.6 , a car in Orlando at time $n$ will be in Orlando at time $n+1$. Use this and the other expected transition probabilities to form the transition matrix $A$.
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- Let $o_{n}$ be the probability that a car is in Orlando on day $n$
- Let $t_{n}$ be the probability that a car is in Tampa on day $n$.

We can represent the distribution of cars at time $n$ with the vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{c}o_{n} \\ t_{n}\end{array}\right]$. And so, $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}o_{n+1} \\ t_{n+1}\end{array}\right]=A \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}o_{n} \\ t_{n}\end{array}\right]=A \overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{n}$.
Given an initial distribution $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{c}o_{0} \\ t_{0}\end{array}\right]$, the expected distribution of cars at time $n$ is $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{n}=A^{n} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{0}$.

## Markov Chains

For example, if they company starts off with twice as many cars in
Orlando as in Tampa, then $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}2 / 3 \\ 1 / 3\end{array}\right]$, so we expect

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.6 & 0.3 \\
0.4 & 0.7
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 / 3 \\
1 / 3
\end{array}\right]=[\quad] .
$$
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\end{aligned}
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How do we determine the expected distribution in the long run?

## Markov Chains

Definition: Given a Markov Chain with transition matrix $A$, an equilibrium distribution is a vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}$ that satisfies $A \overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}$.

## Markov Chains

Definition: Given a Markov Chain with transition matrix $A$, an equilibrium distribution is a vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}$ that satisfies $A \overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}$. [Linear Algebra: $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}$ is an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda=1$.]

## Markov Chains

Definition: Given a Markov Chain with transition matrix $A$, an equilibrium distribution is a vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}$ that satisfies $A \overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}$. [Linear Algebra: $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{\text {eq }}$ is an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda=1$.]
In our example, the equilibrium distribution satisfies

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.6 & 0.3 \\
0.4 & 0.7
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o_{e q} \\
t_{e q}
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So solve: $0.6 o_{e q}+0.3 t_{e q}=o_{e q}$ and $0.4 o_{e q}+0.7 t_{e q}=t_{e q}$. Both equations reduce to $0.3 t_{e q}=0.4 o_{e q}$, so $o_{e q}=\frac{3}{4} t_{e q}$.
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In Markov Chains: $\star$ The sum of the entries in every column of $A$ is 1 , because the total probability of transitioning from state $i$ is 1 .
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* There is no general rule for what the row sum will be.

